

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 12, 13, 15-18, 21, 22, 24-27, 29 and 30 without prejudice.

1-2. (Canceled)

3. (Previously Presented) A computer-implemented method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

recording each word contained in a first document as pre-edited contents;

receiving user edits replacing each problem word contained in the first document with a respective replacement word;

after receiving the user edits, recording each word contained in the edited first document as post-edited contents;

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the respective replacement words;

storing the user-replaced problem words and respective replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure and wherein each individual record includes a field indicating a number of times a respective user-replaced problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word;

assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device, wherein the formatting definition is reflective, on a display device displaying the respective problem word, of the number of times the respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word;

determining whether one or more problem words are present in a second document utilizing the first data structure; and

indicating each problem word present in the second document with its respective formatting definition, wherein respective problems words are displayed in respective visually distinguishable formats.

4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 3, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field containing pre-edited content, a post-edited word field containing corresponding post-edited content and a changed indication field containing an indicator indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are different.

5. (Canceled)

6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word based on the number of times a respective problem word has been replaced by its associated replacement word.

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 6, wherein the formatting definition is based on the priority value.

8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, wherein problem words assigned with the same priority value are assigned the same formatting definition and wherein the problems words assigned with different priority values are displayed with respectively different visual distinguishable formats in the second document.

9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

10-11. (Canceled)

12-27. (Canceled)

28. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 3, further comprising:
receiving a user identification; and
storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

29-33. (Canceled)